

NONCOMPACT GAUGE-INVARIANT LATTICE SIMULATIONS

KEVIN CAHILL^{*} and GARY HERLING[†]

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico,
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131-1156, U. S. A.*

ABSTRACT

We have applied a new gauge-invariant, noncompact, Monte Carlo method to simulate $U(1)$, $SU(2)$, and $SU(3)$ gauge theories on 8^4 and 12^4 lattices. The Creutz ratios of the Wilson loops agree with the exact results for $U(1)$ for $\beta \geq 1$ apart from a renormalization of the charge. The $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Creutz ratios robustly display quark confinement at $\beta = 0.5$ and $\beta = 1.5$, respectively. At much weaker coupling, the $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Creutz ratios agree with perturbation theory after a renormalization of the coupling constant.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first gauge-invariant noncompact simulations were carried out by Palumbo, Polikarpov, and Veselov¹ and were based on earlier work by Palumbo *et al.*² They saw a confinement signal.¹ Their action contains five terms, constructed from two invariants, and involves noncompact auxiliary fields and an adjustable parameter.

The present paper implements and tests a new way of performing gauge-invariant noncompact simulations. This method is based upon a new noncompact action that is exactly invariant under lattice gauge transformations.³ The action is a natural discretization of the classical Yang-Mills action with auxiliary fields that are compact group elements representing gauge transformations.

We have used this method to simulate $U(1)$, $SU(2)$, and $SU(3)$ gauge theories on 8^4 and 12^4 lattices. The Creutz ratios of Wilson loops agree with the exact results for $U(1)$ for $\beta \geq 1$ apart from a renormalization of the charge. The $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Creutz ratios clearly show quark confinement at $\beta = 0.5$ and $\beta = 1.5$, respectively. At much weaker coupling, the $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$ Creutz ratios agree with perturbation theory with a renormalized coupling constant.

2. THE METHOD

What constitutes a gauge transformation in this method? To find out, we look at the (massless) continuum fermionic action density $i\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\partial_\mu\psi$. A suitable discretization of this quantity is $i\bar{\psi}(n)\gamma_\mu[\psi(n+e_\mu) - \psi(n)]/a$ in which n is a four-vector of integers

^{*}Research supported by the U. S. Department of Energy under contract DE-FG04-84ER40166; e-mail: kevin@cahill.phys.unm.edu.

[†]Center for Advanced Studies, e-mail: herling@bootes.unm.edu.

representing an arbitrary vertex of the lattice, e_μ is a unit vector in the μ th direction, and a is the lattice spacing. The product of Fermi fields at the same point is gauge invariant as it stands. The other product of Fermi fields becomes gauge invariant if we insert a matrix $A_\mu(n)$ of gauge fields

$$\frac{i}{a}\bar{\psi}(n)\gamma_\mu[(1+igaA_\mu(n))\psi(n+e_\mu)-\psi(n)] \quad (1)$$

that transforms under a gauge transformation represented by the group elements $U(n)$ and $U(n+e_\mu)$ in such a way that

$$1+ia g A'_\mu(n) = U(n)[1+ia g A_\mu(n)]U^{-1}(n+e_\mu). \quad (2)$$

The required behavior is

$$A'_\mu(n) = U(n)A_\mu(n)U^{-1}(n+e_\mu) + \frac{i}{ag}U(n)[U^{-1}(n)-U^{-1}(n+e_\mu)]. \quad (3)$$

Let us define the lattice field strength $F_{\mu\nu}(n)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu}(n) = & \frac{1}{a}[A_\mu(n+e_\nu)-A_\mu(n)] - \frac{1}{a}[A_\nu(n+e_\mu)-A_\nu(n)] \\ & + ig[A_\nu(n)A_\mu(n+e_\nu)-A_\mu(n)A_\nu(n+e_\mu)] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

which reduces to the continuum Yang-Mills field strength in the limit $a \rightarrow 0$. Under the gauge transformation (3), this field strength transforms as

$$F'_{\mu\nu}(n) = U(n)F_{\mu\nu}(n)U^{-1}(n+e_\mu+e_\nu). \quad (5)$$

The field strength $F_{\mu\nu}(n)$ is antisymmetric in the indices μ and ν , but it is not hermitian. To make a positive plaquette action density, we use the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of $F_{\mu\nu}(n)$

$$S = \frac{1}{4k}\text{Tr}[F_{\mu\nu}^\dagger(n)F_{\mu\nu}(n)], \quad (6)$$

in which it is assumed that the generators of the gauge group have been orthonormalized as $\text{Tr}(T_a T_b) = k\delta_{ab}$. Because $F_{\mu\nu}(n)$ transforms covariantly (5), this action density is invariant under the noncompact gauge transformation (3).

The gauge transformation (3) with group element $U(n) = \exp(-ia g \omega^a T_a)$ maps the usual matrix of gauge fields $A_\mu(n) = T_a A_\mu^a(n)$ into a matrix that generally lies outside the Lie algebra of the gauge group, although it does remain in the algebra to lowest (zeroth) order in the lattice spacing a . In our simulation we use for the gauge fields this more-general space of matrices. We use the action (6) in which the field strength (4) is defined in terms of gauge-field matrices that are of the form

$$A_\mu(n) = V A_\mu^0(n) W^{-1} + \frac{i}{ag} V (V^{-1} - W^{-1}) \quad (7)$$

where $A_\mu^0(n)$ is a matrix of gauge fields defined in the usual way, $A_\mu^0(n) \equiv T_a A_\mu^{a,0}(n)$. Here the group elements V and W associated with the gauge field $A_\mu(n)$ are unrelated to those associated with the neighboring gauge fields $A_\mu(n+e_\nu)$, $A_\nu(n)$, and $A_\nu(n+e_\mu)$.

We do not require the quantity $1 + i g a A_\mu(n)$ to be an element $L_\mu(n)$ of the gauge group. But if one did, then the matrix $A_\mu(n)$ of gauge fields would be related to the link $L_\mu(n)$ by $A_\mu(n) = (L_\mu(n) - 1)/(i g a)$, and the action (6) defined in terms of the field strength (4) and this gauge-field matrix would be, *mirabile dictu*, Wilson's action:

$$S = \frac{k - \Re \text{Tr} L_\mu(n) L_\nu(n + e_\mu) L_\mu^\dagger(n + e_\nu) L_\nu^\dagger(n)}{2a^4 g^2 k}. \quad (8)$$

3. RESULTS

We have tested this method by applying it to the $U(1)$, $SU(2)$, and $SU(3)$ gauge theories on 8^4 and 12^4 lattices. In our initial configurations, the unitary matrices V and W were set equal to the identity matrix and the gauge fields A_μ^0 were either zero or random. We allowed at least 10,000 sweeps for thermalization.

For $U(1)$ and for $\beta \geq 1$, our measured Creutz ratios agreed with the exact ones apart from finite-size effects and a renormalization of the charge. For instance at $\beta = 1$, we found on the 8^4 lattice $\chi(2, 2) = 0.142(1)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 0.098(1)$, $\chi(3, 3) = 0.047(1)$, $\chi(2, 4) = 0.085(1)$, $\chi(3, 4) = 0.030(1)$, and $\chi(4, 4) = 0.014(1)$. The first three of these χ 's are equal to the exact Creutz ratios for a renormalized value of $\beta_r = 0.93$; the last three are smaller than the exact ratios for $\beta_r = 0.93$ due to finite-size effects by 6%, 17%, and 42%, respectively.

For $SU(2)$ on the 8^4 lattice at $\beta = 0.5$, we found $\chi(2, 2) = 0.835(3)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 0.85(1)$, $\chi(3, 3) = 0.9(2)$, and $\chi(2, 4) = 0.9(6)$ which robustly display confinement. At $\beta = 1$, our six Creutz ratios track those of tree-level perturbation theory for a renormalized value of $\beta_r = 1.75$; the finite-size effects are hidden by incipient confinement.

For $SU(3)$ at $\beta = 1.5$, we found on the 8^4 lattice $\chi(2, 2) = 1.175(3)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 1.16(2)$, $\chi(3, 3) = 1.7(16)$, and $\chi(2, 4) = 1.4(2)$, and on the 12^4 lattice $\chi(2, 2) = 1.171(4)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 1.14(3)$, and $\chi(2, 4) = 1.9(7)$. At $\beta = 2$ we found on the 8^4 lattice $\chi(2, 2) = 0.839(2)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 0.837(7)$, $\chi(3, 3) = 0.76(9)$, and $\chi(2, 4) = 0.87(3)$; and on the 12^4 lattice $\chi(2, 2) = 0.832(2)$, $\chi(2, 3) = 0.821(7)$, $\chi(3, 3) = 0.71(7)$, and $\chi(2, 4) = 0.80(2)$. Within the limited statistics, these results exhibit confinement. At much weaker coupling, our ratios agree with perturbation theory apart from finite-size effects and after a renormalization of the coupling constant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We should like to thank M. Creutz, G. Marsaglia, F. Palumbo, W. Press, and K. Webb for useful conversations, the Department of Energy for support under grant DE-FG04-84ER40166, and B. Dieterle for time on his DEC Alpha.

References

1. F. Palumbo, M. I. Polikarpov, and A. I. Veselov, *Phys. Lett.* B297 (1992) 171.
2. F. Palumbo, M. I. Polikarpov, and A. I. Veselov, *Phys. Lett.* B258 (1991) 189; F. Palumbo, *Phys. Lett.* B244 (1990) 55.
3. K. Cahill, hep-lat/9406001.